

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 12-19 have been cancelled. Claims 7, 8 and 20 have been rewritten in independent form. Claims 3-6 and 9-11 have been amended to depend from claim 7. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indicated allowability of claim 20. Accordingly, Applicants have amended this claim to be independent form and hence allowable.

In the Office Action, claims 1-5 and 7-19 were rejected as being anticipated by TREYZ et al. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Applicants have amended claims 7 and 8 to be in independent form. In particular, these claims now recite the feature wherein the moving terminal device switches voice output between voice output from the audio interactive server and the voice output from the voice synthesis processing unit. This switch occurs after the moving terminal device receives from the audio interactive server voice data having a specific meaning notifying of a termination of communication with the moving terminal device (claim 7). Similarly, independent claim 8 switches the voice output between the voice output from the audio interactive server and the voice output from the voice synthesis processing unit after the moving terminal device transmits voice data having the specific meaning notifying of the termination of communication.

By contrast, TREYZ merely relates to an automobile personal computer system having various features allowing an automobile personal computer to communicate wirelessly with external sources. No where does TREYZ disclose, or even suggest, Applicants' claimed feature wherein the nature of data for output or for transmission communicated between the audio interactive server and a moving terminal are switched according to an examination of the communicated data for specific meanings, such as the notification of a termination of communication with the moving terminal device.

In the Office Action, the Examiner alleges with respect to claims 7 and 8 that it would be inherent to switch and a "get a response from the server/terminal after it has the information needed to work". Applicants submit, however, that the nature of the switching claimed is not inherent at all, nor is it disclosed or even suggested in TREYZ. Indeed, claims 7 and 8 switch the voice output after a respective reception or transmission of information required in determining subsequent performance in order to receive a successive response from a server or a terminal. Applicants' invention advantageously can reduce the time consumption due to the communications since information received from the audio interactive server that does not relate to the stylized information or voice announcements can be eliminated.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit independent claim 7, and likewise independent claim 8, are patentable over TREYZ. Further, claims 3-6 and 9-11 depend from claim 7 and are also submitted to be patentable.


Accordingly, an early notice of allowance with respect to claims 3-11 and 20 is respectfully requested.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #381NP/50915).

Respectfully submitted,

December 29, 2003


Jeffrey D. Sanok
Registration No. 32,169

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JDS:pct
doc #296314